### **Particle Astrophysics**

B. Fick & D. Nitz

+

Study of Matter at very smallest scales. (or highest energy)

Study of Matter at very largest scales.

Our Specialty : Ultra-High-Energy Cosmic Rays

**Nagging Questions:** 

Where do they come from ?

Importance: phenomenon farthest from thermal equilibrium in the Universe!

**Astrophysics** 

Can we learn anything about matter at the smallest scales from them?

**Particle Physics** 

Importance: Collisions can probe matter 100x smaller scale than the LHC.

We observe Cosmic Rays above E ~ 2 *Joules* (10<sup>19</sup> eV)

Paths are least distorted by Galactic Magnetic fields Can "look back" to the source.

Problem : Such cosmic rays are very rare !

1 per square kilometer per year !

Must build an enormous detector ~ 3000 km2 Incorporate the atmosphere as part of the detector.



### Air-Showers Make UHE Cosmic rays visible.



## How do you measure the properties of a UHE cosmic rays using Air-Showers?



Fluorescence Telescopes record the time progression of the shower via the light produced by the particles.



**Surface Array** records the time and location of shower particles as they strike the ground.

Position of maximum shower development

Number particles recorded at 1000 m from core.

Relative particle arrival times





Cosmic Ray Interaction Strength

# Pierre Auger Observatory

An International Experiment to Study the Highest Energy Cosmic Rays

PIERRE AUGER OBSERVATORY



## Auger Observatory Layout





### **The Auger Collaboration**

Participating Countries - 50 Institutions, >250 Scientists

Argentina Australia Bolivia<sup>\*</sup>

#### **Brazil**

Czech Republic France Germany Greece 'Associate

#### **Participating US institutions:**

Case Western Chicago Colorado Colorado State Fermilab (and ANL) Louisiana State Italy Mexico Netherlands Poland Portugal Slovenia Spain United Kingdom USA Vietnam

Michigan Tech Minnesota Nebraska New Mexico Northeastern Penn State



#### **Fluorescence Detector Building**

**GPS** antenna

Communications <u>– a</u>ntenna

Electronics enclosure

Plastic tank with 12 tons of water

3 – nine inch photomultiplier tubes Solar panels

Event 3476250 :-) Time 863776055 s 395274000 ns 3TOT & 4C1; 5T5 Candidates: 15 (Acc: 7, Bad: 35)

 $E = (1.26 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{19} \text{ eV} 2 \text{ J}$ ( $\theta, \phi$ ) = (65.5 ± 0.1, 196.5 ± 0.1) deg S(1000 m) = 21.9 ± 1.4 (± 0.2) VEM (x,y) = (39.19 ± 0.06, 42.88 ± 0.03) km  $\beta$  (fixed) = -1.57 (± 0.25) R = 22.87 ± 0.02 km r<sub>oot</sub> = 994.92 m

#### cord 10 events per day above 1x1

#### The Senate Page Image for 201



#### A Proton ?

Run 2137 Event 42179 time stamp: 863776055 s 495337284 ns Trigger: 'Physics - Int or L/R trigger', 'Shower Candidate' hottest hybrid station: 1012 (TOT),  $\Delta$ SP = 377 m Mie attenuation: measured (h<13.2 km, VAOD at 3km: 0.027) in Coihueco mirror 2 3 ( in DAQ: 1 2 3 4 5 6 )

> E =  $(1.05 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{19}$  eV Xmax = 788 ± 19 g/cm<sup>2</sup> dEdXmax = 15.29 ± 0.50 PeV/(g/cm<sup>2</sup>) ( $\lambda$ ,X0) = (65±11,-173±132) g/cm<sup>2</sup> Cherenkov-fraction = 4%

 $\begin{array}{l} (\theta, \phi) {=} (65.9 {\pm} 0.2, \, 196.5 {\pm} 0.5) \mbox{ deg} \\ (x,y) {=} (38.58 {\pm} 0.08, \, 42.63 {\pm} 0.23) \mbox{ km} \\ \mbox{ dca to Eye} {=} 23.42 {\pm} 0.03 \mbox{ km} \end{array}$ 





## The Ultra-High Energy Cosmic-RayEnvironmentSpace is filled with this radiation



~ 400 photons cm<sup>-3</sup>

K. Greisen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 16 (1966); G. T. Zatsepin & V. A. Kuzmin, JETP Lett. 4 (1966); A. A. Penzias & R. W. Wilson, Ap. J. 142 (1965); J. L. Puget, F.W. Stecker, & J. H. Bredekamp, Ap. J. 205 (1976)

## A Distance limit for Cosmic rays above the threshold energy (GZK Limit)



~ 100 Mpc at ~ 6x10<sup>19</sup> eV

#### **Consequences**

• Ignoring magnetic fields, we can see <u>lower</u> energy cosmic ray sources out to the Hubble distance.

•We see <u>higher</u> energy cosmic ray sources out to < 100 Mpc

Expect a spectrum cutoff or steepening at the threshold energy.



1 gm of these particles have the same energy as 20,000 Gigawatt power plants operating for 1,000 years



10

Charged Cosmic Ray Deflection in Magnetic Fields Angular Deviation depends on distance D and scale length L<sub>c</sub>

$$\theta_{rms} \approx 4^{\circ} \frac{60 \text{ EeV}}{E/Z} \frac{B_{rms}}{10^{-9} \text{ G}} \sqrt{\frac{D}{100 \text{ Mpc}}} \sqrt{\frac{L_c}{1 \text{ Mpc}}}$$

Galactic Effects $10^{20} \text{ eV}$ D ~ 1 kpc, L<sub>c</sub> ~ 1 kpcProton $\rightarrow$  $\theta_{rms}$  ~ 0.5 degIron $\rightarrow$  $\theta_{rms}$  ~ 12 deg

**ExtraGalactic Effects**  $10^{20} \text{ eV}$  D~10 Mpc, L<sub>c</sub> ~ 1 Mpc

Proton 
$$\rightarrow \qquad \theta_{rms} \approx 0.8^{\circ} \frac{B_{rms}}{10^{-9} \text{ G}}$$
  
Iron  $\rightarrow \qquad \theta_{rms} \approx 20^{\circ} \frac{B_{rms}}{10^{-9} \text{ G}}$ 



## **Auger Observatory Science Highlights Observed GZK feature in the spectrum** Discovered sky anisotropies >60 EeV Puzzling muon and X<sub>max</sub> results Strongest UHE tau neutrino limit Strongest UHE photon limit



## **Cosmic Ray Energy Spectrum**



Pierre Auger Collaboration, Physics Letters B685 (2010) 2395 (2010) 239 R.U. Abassi et al. (HiRes Collab.), Astropart. Phys. 32 (3008) 53



### **Cosmic Ray Anisotropy**

The Pierre Auger Collaboration, Science 318 (2007) 938

27 events shown as black circles

P. Abreu et al. / Astroparticle Physics 34 (2010) 314–326

2010

**Fig. 1.** The 69 arrival directions of CRs with energy  $E \ge 55$  EeV detected by the Pierre Auger Observatory up to 31 December 2009 are plotted as black dots in an Aitoff-Hammer projection of the sky in galactic coordinates. The solid line represents the border of the field of view of the Southern Observatory for zenith angles smaller than 60°. Blue circles of radius 3.1° are centred at the positions of the 318 AGNs in the VCV catalog that lie within 75 Mpc and that are within the field of view of the Observatory. Darker blue indicates larger relative exposure. The exposure-weighted fraction of the sky covered by the blue circles is 21%. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

319



## Puzzling Air Shower Development



- Average interaction probability increasing (compared to proton expectation)
  - Mix of protons and heavier nuclei changing with energy?
    - Inconsistent with anisotropy above 60 EeV
- Proton interaction cross-section increasing?
  - Models wrong: new hadronic physics?
- Caveat: All these measurements are below 40 EeV and anisotropy is above 60 EeV

Pierre Auger Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 091101